



www.mdclimatechange.us

Residential, Commercial, and Industrial Technical Work Group

Summary List of Draft Priority Policy Options for Analysis

Draft Option #	Draft Policy Option Name	Straw Proposal Volunteers
RCI-1	Improved Building Codes for Energy Efficiency (2.1)	
RCI-2	Demand-Side Management (DSM)/Energy Efficiency Programs, Funds, or Goals for Electricity and Natural Gas (including expansion of existing programs and peak load reduction) (1.1, 1.2)	
RCI-3	Low-cost loans for energy efficiency (1.5)	
RCI-4	Improved design, construction, appliances, and lighting in new and existing state and local government buildings, “Government Lead-by-example” (2.3, 3.4)	
RCI-5	Energy Efficiency and Environmental Impacts Awareness and Instruction in School Curricula (4.2)	
RCI-6	Promotion and Incentives for Improved Design and Construction (e.g. LEED, green buildings, or minimum % improvement better than code) in the Private Sector (2.2)	
RCI-7	More Stringent Appliance/Equipment Efficiency Standards (<i>state-level, or advocate for regional or federal-level standards</i>) (3.1)	
RCI-8	Rate structures and Technologies to Promote Reduced GHG Emissions (including inverted block rates) (5.3)	

Draft Option #	Draft Policy Option Name	Straw Proposal Volunteers
RCI-9	GHG or Carbon Tax (7.2)	
RCI-10	White Roofs, Rooftop Gardens, Landscaping (including Shade Tree Programs), and solar electric panels. (8.1)	
RCI-11	Energy Efficiency Resource Standard (EERS)	
RCI-12	Phase out incandescent light bulbs in state (3.3)	

Note: The numbering used to denote the above policy options is for reference purpose only; it does not reflect prioritization among these important policy options. Numbering of recommended priority policy options for analysis has been changed to reflect MWG modifications (recommended priority policy options RCI-4 and RCI-5 were merged; RCI-8 moved to the TLU TWG, and the remaining policies moved up in number).

RCI-1. Improved Building Codes for Energy Efficiency

Policy Description

Building energy codes specify minimum energy efficiency requirements for new buildings or for existing buildings undergoing a major renovation. Given the long lifetime of most buildings, amending state and/or local building codes to include minimum energy efficiency requirements and periodically updating energy efficiency codes could provide long-term GHG savings. Implementation of building energy codes, particularly when much of the building occurs outside of urban centers, can require additional resources.

Potential elements of a policy to include building codes include:

- Require high-efficiency appliances in new construction and retrofits.
- Training of building code and other officials in energy code enforcement.
- Strengthening regional partnerships like NEEP (Northeast Energy Efficiency Partnership) to assure consistency and economies of scale, or adopting CA or ASHRAE standards. Any rule considered by Maryland should include future incorporation by reference language in the statute or regulation to avoid having to re-open the rule each time the referenced body changes or improves its code.

Potential measures supporting this option can include consumer education, improved enforcement of building codes, training for builders and contractors, and development of a clearinghouse for information on and to provide access to software tools to calculate the impact of energy efficiency and solar technologies on building energy performance.

Policy Design

Goals: [To be based on inputs from volunteers for straw proposals and then proposed to the full TWG for review/revision, then on to the MWG at the next meeting]

- **Timing:** [TBD, as needed on TWG approval]
- **Parties Involved:** [TBD, as needed on TWG approval]
- **Other:** [As needed]

Implementation Mechanisms

TBD – [CCS drafts based on TWG inputs; this can be developed as they go along, and can start early or late as they prefer; the level of detail can vary on TWG approval]

Related Policies/Programs in Place

TBD – [as needed and approved by the TWGs]

Types(s) of GHG Reductions

TBD – [CCS to list GHG reductions with input / approval from TWG]

Estimated GHG Reductions and Net Costs or Cost Savings

TBD – [CCS should provide a worksheet and other reference material as needed for transparency]

- **Data Sources:** [TBD by CCS on TWG approval]
- **Quantification Methods:** [e.g. Full life-cycle analysis with supply/demand equilibrium adjustments on TWG approval]
- **Key Assumptions:** [TBD, as needed on TWG approval]

Key Uncertainties

TBD – [as needed and approved by the TWGs]

Additional Benefits and Costs

TBD – [as needed and approved by the TWGs]

Feasibility Issues

TBD – [as needed and approved by the TWGs]

Status of Group Approval

Pending – [until MWG moves to final agreement at Meeting #5 or #6]

Level of Group Support

TBD – [blank until MWG Meeting #5]

Barriers to Consensus

TBD – [blank until final vote by the MWG/MCCC]

RCI-2. Demand-Side Management (DSM)/Energy Efficiency Programs, Funds, or Goals for Electricity and Natural Gas (Including Expansion of Existing Programs and Peak Load Reduction)

Policy Description

This option focuses on increasing investment in electricity and natural gas demand-side management programs through programs run by utilities or others, energy efficiency funds, and/or energy efficiency goals. These options are typically termed DSM activities, and may be designed to work in tandem with other recommended strategies that can also encourage efficiency gains.

The policy design includes two key and linked dimensions: achievable/desirable energy savings and policy/administrative mechanisms to achieve these savings. In order to implement expanded DSM programs, a number of mechanisms should be considered. Candidate mechanisms include revising existing statutes to enable utility investments in energy efficiency at the levels indicated above, to consider as potentially eligible programs that are cost-effective taking into account the valuation of for CO₂ emissions. Policy and administrative mechanisms that might be applied include regulator-verified savings targets, portfolio standards, “energy trusts” (funds collected from a public benefits charge, a non-bypassable charge on electric or gas bills, that are provided to a third party to provide energy efficiency programming), integrated resource planning, performance-based incentives, decoupling of rates and revenues, and appropriate rate treatment for efficiency. Elements that might be considered in designing this option might include:

- Implementation/administration by utility (including municipal utilities and cooperatives), state agency, or third-party actors.
- Specific technologies, potentially including (but not limited to) lighting, water heating, plug loads, networked personal computer management, power supplies, motors, pumps, boilers, customer-side transformers, water use reduction, ground-source heat pumps, and others.
- Focus on peak load reduction measures.
- Energy efficiency reinvestment funds.
- Subsidized energy audits for homeowners, businesses, industries.
- Incentives to contractors to include energy efficient appliances in new construction or retrofits.
- Appliance recycling/pick-up programs.

This policy may be broad in focus, or it can focus on specific market segments. Measures supporting this option might include consumer education, performance contracting, and energy end-use surveys.

Policy Design

Goals: [To be based on inputs from volunteers for straw proposals and then proposed to the full TWG for review/revision, then on to the MWG at the next meeting]

- **Timing:** [TBD, as needed on TWG approval]
- **Parties Involved:** [TBD, as needed on TWG approval]
- **Other:** [As needed]

Implementation Mechanisms

TBD – [CCS drafts based on TWG inputs; this can be developed as they go along, and can start early or late as they prefer; the level of detail can vary on TWG approval]

Related Policies/Programs in Place

Empower Maryland sets statewide goal of reducing per capita energy use by 15% electricity use by 2015.

Regional Greenhouse Gas Initiative (RGGI) auction proceeds may be dedicated to EE.

Types(s) of GHG Reductions

TBD – [CCS to list GHG reductions with input / approval from TWG]

Estimated GHG Reductions and Net Costs or Cost Savings

TBD – [CCS should provide a worksheet and other reference material as needed for transparency]

- **Data Sources:** [TBD by CCS on TWG approval]
- **Quantification Methods:** [e.g. Full life-cycle analysis with supply/demand equilibrium adjustments on TWG approval]
- **Key Assumptions:** [TBD, as needed on TWG approval]

Key Uncertainties

TBD – [as needed and approved by the TWGs]

Additional Benefits and Costs

TBD – [as needed and approved by the TWGs]

Feasibility Issues

TBD – [as needed and approved by the TWGs]

Status of Group Approval

Pending – [until MWG moves to final agreement at Meeting #5 or #6]

Level of Group Support

TBD – [blank until MWG Meeting #5]

Barriers to Consensus

TBD – [blank until final vote by the MWG/MCCC]

RCI-3. Low-cost loans for energy efficiency

Policy Description

This option refers to revolving low-interest loan fund(s) for energy efficiency investments in distribution service areas that are not covered by existing utility programs.

Policy Design

Goals: [To be based on inputs from volunteers for straw proposals and then proposed to the full TWG for review/revision, then on to the MWG at the next meeting]

- **Timing:** [TBD, as needed on TWG approval]
- **Parties Involved:** [TBD, as needed on TWG approval]
- **Other:** [As needed]

Implementation Mechanisms

TBD – [CCS drafts based on TWG inputs; this can be developed as they go along, and can start early or late as they prefer; the level of detail can vary on TWG approval]

Related Policies/Programs in Place

TBD – [as needed and approved by the TWGs]

Types(s) of GHG Reductions

TBD – [CCS to list GHG reductions with input / approval from TWG]

Estimated GHG Reductions and Net Costs or Cost Savings

TBD – [CCS should provide a worksheet and other reference material as needed for transparency]

- **Data Sources:** [TBD by CCS on TWG approval]
- **Quantification Methods:** [e.g. Full life-cycle analysis with supply/demand equilibrium adjustments on TWG approval]
- **Key Assumptions:** [TBD, as needed on TWG approval]

Key Uncertainties

TBD – [as needed and approved by the TWGs]

Additional Benefits and Costs

TBD – [as needed and approved by the TWGs]

Feasibility Issues

TBD – [as needed and approved by the TWGs]

Status of Group Approval

Pending – [until MWG moves to final agreement at Meeting #5 or #6]

Level of Group Support

TBD – [blank until MWG Meeting #5]

Barriers to Consensus

TBD – [blank until final vote by the MWG/MCCC]

RCI-4. Improved design, construction, appliances, and lighting in new and existing state and local government buildings, “Government Lead-by-example”

Policy Description

Recognizing that governments should “lead by example” the option presented here provides energy use targets to improve the efficiency of energy use in new and existing State and local government buildings. The proposed policy provides energy efficiency targets that are much higher than code standards for new state-funded and other government buildings. This option sets energy-efficiency goals for the existing government building stock, as well as for new construction and major renovations of government buildings.

Potential elements of this policy include:

- Requiring that energy efficiency be a criterion in procurement of energy-using equipment and systems, including lighting and appliances, and in the improvement in operation of buildings and other facilities
- Audits of energy performance and operations of State and other government buildings (in tandem with an audit program). Audit results could be used to target and prioritize investments in improving government building energy efficiency.
- Improvement and review of efficiency goals over time, and development of flexibility in contracting arrangements to encourage integrated energy-efficient design and construction.
- Recommendations that the infrastructure for implementation (meters, bookkeeping systems, staff, etc.) be established as soon as possible.
- State bulk-purchase of appliances and equipment with higher-than-standard energy efficiency for public facilities.
- Establishing “retained savings” policies whereby government agencies are able to retain funds saved by reducing energy bills for further energy efficiency/renewable energy investments or other uses.
- State bulk-purchase of appliances and equipment with higher-than-standard energy efficiency for public facilities.
- Zero or low cost loans for purchase of appliances and equipment with higher-than-standard energy efficiency for public facilities.

Potential supporting measures for this option include training and certification of building sector professionals, and performance contracting/shared savings, but could also include surveys of government energy and water use, energy benchmarking, measurement, and tracking programs for municipal and state buildings.

Policy Design

Goals: [To be based on inputs from volunteers for straw proposals and then proposed to the full TWG for review/revision, then on to the MWG at the next meeting]

- **Timing:** [TBD, as needed on TWG approval]
- **Parties Involved:** [TBD, as needed on TWG approval]
- **Other:** [As needed]

Implementation Mechanisms

TBD – [CCS drafts based on TWG inputs; this can be developed as they go along, and can start early or late as they prefer; the level of detail can vary on TWG approval]

Related Policies/Programs in Place

Maryland Building Council to establish energy efficiency standards for state-funded projects. State buildings required to reduce energy use by 15% by 2015.

Types(s) of GHG Reductions

TBD – [CCS to list GHG reductions with input / approval from TWG]

Estimated GHG Reductions and Net Costs or Cost Savings

TBD – [CCS should provide a worksheet and other reference material as needed for transparency]

- **Data Sources:** [TBD by CCS on TWG approval]
- **Quantification Methods:** [e.g. Full life-cycle analysis with supply/demand equilibrium adjustments on TWG approval]
- **Key Assumptions:** [TBD, as needed on TWG approval]

Key Uncertainties

TBD – [as needed and approved by the TWGs]

Additional Benefits and Costs

TBD – [as needed and approved by the TWGs]

Feasibility Issues

TBD – [as needed and approved by the TWGs]

Status of Group Approval

Pending – [until MWG moves to final agreement at Meeting #5 or #6]

Level of Group Support

TBD – [blank until MWG Meeting #5]

Barriers to Consensus

TBD – [blank until final vote by the MWG/MCCC]

RCI-5. Energy Efficiency and Environmental Impacts Awareness and Instruction in School Curricula

Policy Description

The long-term effectiveness of emissions reduction activities depends on providing information and education not only to present consumers, but to future consumers as well. This policy option involves the education of primary and secondary school students regarding the energy and GHG emissions implications of consumer and societal choices. Public education and outreach is vital to fostering a broad awareness of climate change issues and effects (including co-benefits, such as clean air and public health) among the state's young citizens. As with adult consumers, public education and outreach efforts should integrate with and build upon existing outreach efforts involving climate change and related issues in the state.

Policy Design

Goals: [To be based on inputs from volunteers for straw proposals and then proposed to the full TWG for review/revision, then on to the MWG at the next meeting]

- **Timing:** [TBD, as needed on TWG approval]
- **Parties Involved:** [TBD, as needed on TWG approval]
- **Other:** [As needed]

Implementation Mechanisms

TBD – [CCS drafts based on TWG inputs; this can be developed as they go along, and can start early or late as they prefer; the level of detail can vary on TWG approval]

Related Policies/Programs in Place

TBD – [as needed and approved by the TWGs]

Types(s) of GHG Reductions

TBD – [CCS to list GHG reductions with input / approval from TWG]

Estimated GHG Reductions and Net Costs or Cost Savings

TBD – [CCS should provide a worksheet and other reference material as needed for transparency]

- **Data Sources:** [TBD by CCS on TWG approval]
- **Quantification Methods:** [e.g. Full life-cycle analysis with supply/demand equilibrium adjustments on TWG approval]
- **Key Assumptions:** [TBD, as needed on TWG approval]

Key Uncertainties

TBD – [as needed and approved by the TWGs]

Additional Benefits and Costs

TBD – [as needed and approved by the TWGs]

Feasibility Issues

TBD – [as needed and approved by the TWGs]

Status of Group Approval

Pending – [until MWG moves to final agreement at Meeting #5 or #6]

Level of Group Support

TBD – [blank until MWG Meeting #5]

Barriers to Consensus

TBD – [blank until final vote by the MWG/MCCC]

RCI-6. Promotion and Incentives for Improved Design and Construction (e.g. LEED, green buildings, or minimum % improvement better than code) in the Private Sector

Policy Description

This policy provides incentives and targets to induce the owners and developers of new and existing buildings to improve the efficiency with which energy and other resources are used in those buildings, along with provisions for raising targets periodically and providing resources to building industry professionals to help achieve the desired building performance. This policy can include elements to encourage the improvement and review of energy use goals over time, and to encourage flexibility in contracting arrangements to encourage integrated energy- and resource efficient design and construction. This policy would build upon the existing Empower Maryland program (applicable to state buildings) by applying these same requirements on private sector facilities.

Additional potential elements of this option include:

- Provide incentives based upon performance superior by a substantial percentage over LEED. (While LEED is a well-known and familiar standard, merely requiring LEED may not lead to the most efficient buildings.)
- Target new, renovated, and/or existing buildings (retrofits).
- Set a cap on consumption of energy per unit area of floorspace for new buildings.
- Encourage building commissioning and recommissioning, including energy tracking and benchmarking.
- Set up a “feebate” program to encourage energy efficiency in building design.
- Provide incentives, in the form of tax credits, DSM program support, financing incentives (such as “green mortgages”), or other inducements for retrofit of existing residential and commercial buildings.
- Encourage the use of alternative and local building materials and practices.

Potential supporting measures for this option include training and certification of building professionals, consumer and primary/secondary education, performance contracting/shared

savings arrangements, and setting up of a clearinghouse for information on and access to software tools to calculate the impacts of energy efficiency and solar technologies for buildings.

Policy Design

Goals: [To be based on inputs from volunteers for straw proposals and then proposed to the full TWG for review/revision, then on to the MWG at the next meeting]

- **Timing:** [TBD, as needed on TWG approval]
- **Parties Involved:** [TBD, as needed on TWG approval]
- **Other:** [As needed]

Implementation Mechanisms

TBD – [CCS drafts based on TWG inputs; this can be developed as they go along, and can start early or late as they prefer; the level of detail can vary on TWG approval]

Related Policies/Programs in Place

Legislature has shown interest in “standard 189” code.

Types(s) of GHG Reductions

TBD – [CCS to list GHG reductions with input / approval from TWG]

Estimated GHG Reductions and Net Costs or Cost Savings

TBD – [CCS should provide a worksheet and other reference material as needed for transparency]

- **Data Sources:** [TBD by CCS on TWG approval]
- **Quantification Methods:** [e.g. Full life-cycle analysis with supply/demand equilibrium adjustments on TWG approval]
- **Key Assumptions:** [TBD, as needed on TWG approval]

Key Uncertainties

TBD – [as needed and approved by the TWGs]

Additional Benefits and Costs

TBD – [as needed and approved by the TWGs]

Feasibility Issues

TBD – [as needed and approved by the TWGs]

Status of Group Approval

Pending – [until MWG moves to final agreement at Meeting #5 or #6]

Level of Group Support

TBD – [blank until MWG Meeting #5]

Barriers to Consensus

TBD – [blank until final vote by the MWG/MCCC]

RCI-7. More Stringent Appliance/Equipment Efficiency Standards (state-level, or advocate for regional or federal-level standards)

Policy Description

Appliance efficiency standards reduce the market cost of energy efficiency improvements by incorporating technological advances into base appliance models, thereby creating economies of scale. Appliance efficiency standards can be implemented at the state level for appliances not covered by federal standards, or where higher-than-federal standard efficiency requirements are appropriate. Regional coordination for state appliance standards can be used to avoid concerns that retailers or manufacturers may (1) resist supplying equipment to one state that has advanced standards or (2) focus sales of lower efficiency models on a state with less stringent efficiency standards.

Potential elements of an appliance efficiency standards policy include:

- Establishment and enforcement of higher-than-federal state-level appliance and equipment standards (or standards for devices not covered by federal standards).
- Joining with other states in adopting higher standards.
- Requiring high-efficiency appliances in new construction and retrofits.
- Advocating for regional or federal-level standards.

Consumer education is a potential supporting measure for this option.

Policy Design

Goals: [To be based on inputs from volunteers for straw proposals and then proposed to the full TWG for review/revision, then on to the MWG at the next meeting]

- **Timing:** [TBD, as needed on TWG approval]
- **Parties Involved:** [TBD, as needed on TWG approval]
- **Other:** [As needed]

Implementation Mechanisms

TBD – [CCS drafts based on TWG inputs; this can be developed as they go along, and can start early or late as they prefer; the level of detail can vary on TWG approval]

Related Policies/Programs in Place

TBD – [as needed and approved by the TWGs]

Types(s) of GHG Reductions

TBD – [CCS to list GHG reductions with input / approval from TWG]

Estimated GHG Reductions and Net Costs or Cost Savings

TBD – [CCS should provide a worksheet and other reference material as needed for transparency]

- **Data Sources:** [TBD by CCS on TWG approval]
- **Quantification Methods:** [e.g. Full life-cycle analysis with supply/demand equilibrium adjustments on TWG approval]
- **Key Assumptions:** [TBD, as needed on TWG approval]

Key Uncertainties

TBD – [as needed and approved by the TWGs]

Additional Benefits and Costs

TBD – [as needed and approved by the TWGs]

Feasibility Issues

TBD – [as needed and approved by the TWGs]

Status of Group Approval

Pending – [until MWG moves to final agreement at Meeting #5 or #6]

Level of Group Support

TBD – [blank until MWG Meeting #5]

Barriers to Consensus

TBD – [blank until final vote by the MWG/MCCC]

RCI-8. Rate structures and Technologies to Promote Reduced GHG Emissions (Including Inverted Block Rates)

Policy Description

This option could include various elements of utility rate design that are geared toward reducing greenhouse gas emissions, often with other benefits as well, such as reducing peak power demand. The overall goal is to revise rate structures so as to better reflect the actual economic and environmental costs of producing and delivering electricity as those costs vary by time of day, day of the week, season, or from year to year. In this way, rates provide consumers with information reflecting the impacts of their consumption choices.

Potential elements of this option include:

- Time-of-use rates, which typically price electricity higher at times of higher power demand, and thus better reflect the actual cost of generation. Time-of-use rates may or may not have a significant impact on total GHG emissions, but do affect on-peak power demand and thus both the need for peaking capacity and fuel for peaking plants.
- Tiered (increasing/inverted block) rates for electricity and natural gas use, which provide affordable base usage rates for consumers, but which increase with increasing consumption.
- “Smart metering”—implementation of consumer meters showing real-time pricing, and the level of GHG emissions related to consumption at any given time. Smart meters are described as providing consumers with the information needed to make consumption choices, and can include the capability for consumers to adjust the type of power (for example, “green” versus conventional power) “on the fly”.

Policy Design

Goals: [To be based on inputs from volunteers for straw proposals and then proposed to the full TWG for review/revision, then on to the MWG at the next meeting]

- **Timing:** [TBD, as needed on TWG approval]
- **Parties Involved:** [TBD, as needed on TWG approval]
- **Other:** [As needed]

Implementation Mechanisms

TBD – [CCS drafts based on TWG inputs; this can be developed as they go along, and can start early or late as they prefer; the level of detail can vary on TWG approval]

Related Policies/Programs in Place

TBD – [as needed and approved by the TWGs]

Types(s) of GHG Reductions

TBD – [CCS to list GHG reductions with input / approval from TWG]

Estimated GHG Reductions and Net Costs or Cost Savings

TBD – [CCS should provide a worksheet and other reference material as needed for transparency]

- **Data Sources:** [TBD by CCS on TWG approval]
- **Quantification Methods:** [e.g. Full life-cycle analysis with supply/demand equilibrium adjustments on TWG approval]
- **Key Assumptions:** [TBD, as needed on TWG approval]

Key Uncertainties

TBD – [as needed and approved by the TWGs]

Additional Benefits and Costs

TBD – [as needed and approved by the TWGs]

Feasibility Issues

TBD – [as needed and approved by the TWGs]

Status of Group Approval

Pending – [until MWG moves to final agreement at Meeting #5 or #6]

Level of Group Support

TBD – [blank until MWG Meeting #5]

Barriers to Consensus

TBD – [blank until final vote by the MWG/MCCC]

RCI-9. GHG or Carbon Tax

Policy Description

A carbon or GHG tax is typically a tax on each ton of CO₂ or CO₂e emitted from an emissions source covered by the tax. A GHG tax could be imposed upstream based on the carbon content of fuels (for example, imposed at the level of fossil fuel or electricity suppliers) or at the point of combustion and emission (this approach would typically be applied for large point sources of emissions such as large industrial plants). Taxed entities may pass some or all of the cost on to consumers, change production processes to lower emissions, or a combination of the two. As the suppliers respond to the tax, consumers would see the implicit cost of GHG emissions in products and services, and could adjust their behavior to purchase substitute goods and services that result in lower GHG emissions. GHG tax revenue could be used in a number of ways, from income tax reduction to policies and programs to support GHG reductions or technology innovation. GHG tax revenue could also be directed to helping the competitiveness of industries or assisting communities or groups most affected by the tax. Carbon taxes have been in place in a number of European countries since the early 1990s. Time-of-use rates, which typically price electricity higher at times of higher power demand, and thus better reflect the actual cost of generation. Time-of-use rates may or may not have a significant impact on total GHG emissions, but do affect on-peak power demand and thus both the need for peaking capacity and fuel for peaking plants.

Policy Design

Goals: [To be based on inputs from volunteers for straw proposals and then proposed to the full TWG for review/revision, then on to the MWG at the next meeting]

- **Timing:** [TBD, as needed on TWG approval]
- **Parties Involved:** [TBD, as needed on TWG approval]
- **Other:** [As needed]

Implementation Mechanisms

TBD – [CCS drafts based on TWG inputs; this can be developed as they go along, and can start early or late as they prefer; the level of detail can vary on TWG approval]

Related Policies/Programs in Place

TBD – [as needed and approved by the TWGs]

Types(s) of GHG Reductions

TBD – [CCS to list GHG reductions with input / approval from TWG]

Estimated GHG Reductions and Net Costs or Cost Savings

TBD – [CCS should provide a worksheet and other reference material as needed for transparency]

- **Data Sources:** [TBD by CCS on TWG approval]
- **Quantification Methods:** [e.g. Full life-cycle analysis with supply/demand equilibrium adjustments on TWG approval]
- **Key Assumptions:** [TBD, as needed on TWG approval]

Key Uncertainties

TBD – [as needed and approved by the TWGs]

Additional Benefits and Costs

TBD – [as needed and approved by the TWGs]

Feasibility Issues

TBD – [as needed and approved by the TWGs]

Status of Group Approval

Pending – [until MWG moves to final agreement at Meeting #5 or #6]

Level of Group Support

TBD – [blank until MWG Meeting #5]

Barriers to Consensus

TBD – [blank until final vote by the MWG/MCCC]

RCI-10. White Roofs, Rooftop Gardens, Landscaping (including Shade Tree Programs), and solar electric panels

Policy Description

High summer roof temperatures increase the need for more electricity for air conditioning, as well as producing black carbon from updrafts. Incentives for white roofs, rooftop gardens, and landscaping can lower electricity demand, and solar photovoltaics can provide electricity when demand is highest.

Policy Design

Goals: [To be based on inputs from volunteers for straw proposals and then proposed to the full TWG for review/revision, then on to the MWG at the next meeting]

- **Timing:** [TBD, as needed on TWG approval]
- **Parties Involved:** [TBD, as needed on TWG approval]
- **Other:** [As needed]

Implementation Mechanisms

TBD – [CCS drafts based on TWG inputs; this can be developed as they go along, and can start early or late as they prefer; the level of detail can vary on TWG approval]

Related Policies/Programs in Place

MD has significant solar panel manufacturer in Frederick, MD.

Types(s) of GHG Reductions

TBD – [CCS to list GHG reductions with input / approval from TWG]

Estimated GHG Reductions and Net Costs or Cost Savings

TBD – [CCS should provide a worksheet and other reference material as needed for transparency]

- **Data Sources:** [TBD by CCS on TWG approval]
- **Quantification Methods:** [e.g. Full life-cycle analysis with supply/demand equilibrium adjustments on TWG approval]

- **Key Assumptions:** [TBD, as needed on TWG approval]

Key Uncertainties

TBD – [as needed and approved by the TWGs]

Additional Benefits and Costs

TBD – [as needed and approved by the TWGs]

Feasibility Issues

TBD – [as needed and approved by the TWGs]

Status of Group Approval

Pending – [until MWG moves to final agreement at Meeting #5 or #6]

Level of Group Support

TBD – [blank until MWG Meeting #5]

Barriers to Consensus

TBD – [blank until final vote by the MWG/MCCC]

RCI-11. Energy Efficiency Resource Standard (EERS)

Policy Description

Maryland could establish a goal that energy efficiency provide resources equal to 2% of demand. Considerations for this policy include existing programs and ramp-in to go from current level of savings to the 2% requirement.

Policy Design

Goals: [To be based on inputs from volunteers for straw proposals and then proposed to the full TWG for review/revision, then on to the MWG at the next meeting]

- **Timing:** [TBD, as needed on TWG approval]
- **Parties Involved:** [TBD, as needed on TWG approval]
- **Other:** [As needed]

Implementation Mechanisms

TBD – [CCS drafts based on TWG inputs; this can be developed as they go along, and can start early or late as they prefer; the level of detail can vary on TWG approval]

Related Policies/Programs in Place

TBD – [as needed and approved by the TWGs]

Types(s) of GHG Reductions

TBD – [CCS to list GHG reductions with input / approval from TWG]

Estimated GHG Reductions and Net Costs or Cost Savings

TBD – [CCS should provide a worksheet and other reference material as needed for transparency]

- **Data Sources:** [TBD by CCS on TWG approval]
- **Quantification Methods:** [e.g. Full life-cycle analysis with supply/demand equilibrium adjustments on TWG approval]
- **Key Assumptions:** [TBD, as needed on TWG approval]

Key Uncertainties

TBD – [as needed and approved by the TWGs]

Additional Benefits and Costs

TBD – [as needed and approved by the TWGs]

Feasibility Issues

TBD – [as needed and approved by the TWGs]

Status of Group Approval

Pending – [until MWG moves to final agreement at Meeting #5 or #6]

Level of Group Support

TBD – [blank until MWG Meeting #5]

Barriers to Consensus

TBD – [blank until final vote by the MWG/MCCC]

RCI-12. Phase out incandescent light bulbs in state

Policy Description

This policy option involves phasing out the sale or use of energy-inefficient incandescent light bulbs in the state. California has announced its plan to phase out the use of incandescent light bulbs by 2018, and a number of other states are considering similar policies, including Connecticut and New Jersey. Australia and Ontario, Canada have announced similar bans.

Policy Design

Goals: [To be based on inputs from volunteers for straw proposals and then proposed to the full TWG for review/revision, then on to the MWG at the next meeting]

- **Timing:** [TBD, as needed on TWG approval]
- **Parties Involved:** [TBD, as needed on TWG approval]
- **Other:** [As needed]

Implementation Mechanisms

TBD – [CCS drafts based on TWG inputs; this can be developed as they go along, and can start early or late as they prefer; the level of detail can vary on TWG approval]

Related Policies/Programs in Place

TBD – [as needed and approved by the TWGs]

Types(s) of GHG Reductions

TBD – [CCS to list GHG reductions with input / approval from TWG]

Estimated GHG Reductions and Net Costs or Cost Savings

TBD – [CCS should provide a worksheet and other reference material as needed for transparency]

- **Data Sources:** [TBD by CCS on TWG approval]
- **Quantification Methods:** [e.g. Full life-cycle analysis with supply/demand equilibrium adjustments on TWG approval]

- **Key Assumptions:** [TBD, as needed on TWG approval]

Key Uncertainties

TBD – [as needed and approved by the TWGs]

Additional Benefits and Costs

TBD – [as needed and approved by the TWGs]

Feasibility Issues

TBD – [as needed and approved by the TWGs]

Status of Group Approval

Pending – [until MWG moves to final agreement at Meeting #5 or #6]

Level of Group Support

TBD – [blank until MWG Meeting #5]

Barriers to Consensus

TBD – [blank until final vote by the MWG/MCCC]